



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/909,420	07/19/2001	David H. Gracias	H00498/70151 TJO 7277		
23628 75	90 03/16/2005		EXAMINER		
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC			KIM, PAUL D		
FEDERAL RES			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA	02210-2211		3729	3729	
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	: 1
//	И
4	W
v	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summers	09/909,420 GRACIAS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul D Kim	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	····
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 No	ovember 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	S
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	ſ .		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	•	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Debat and Tradewood Office			

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/10/2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bowden et al. (US PAT. 6,507,989).

The applied reference has a common inventor and assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this

Application/Control Number: 09/909,420

Art Unit: 3729

application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

As per claim 1 Bowden et al. teach a method of self-assembly of mesoscale object comprising step of: allowing a the first, second, third and forth of components (128-134 of Fig. 5 and Figs. 7A-7C) in a non-planar arrangement of components, at least one of the components having at least two contact surface areas that are not distinguishable from each other by another components and forming at least one electrical circuit that traverses at least a portion of the first, second, third and forth components (col. 9, line 53 to col. 10, line 16).

As per claim 2 Bowden et al. also teach that the first, second, third and forth components to assemble under a set conditions to form an interconnection assembly (col. 2, lines 61-65).

As per claim 3 Bowden et al. also teach that the first, second, third and forth components includes a mating surface that matches a mating surfaces of at least one other of e first, second, third and forth components (col. 2, lines 43-49).

As per claim 4 Bowden et al. also teach that the allowing the first, second, third and forth of components (128-134 of Fig. 5 and Figs. 7A-7C) in a non-planar arrangement of component and forming at least one electrical circuit that traverses at least a portion of the first, second, third and forth components (col. 1, line 18 to col. 10, line 16).

As per claim 5 Bowden et al. also teach that the first, second, third and forth of components undergo random contact interaction with each other until the non-planar

Application/Control Number: 09/909,420

Art Unit: 3729

arrangement of component is formed as shown in Figs. 5, 7A-7C and 10C (col. 4, lines 32-38).

As per claim 6 Bowden et al. also teach that each mating surface of the first, second, third and forth of components is matched with the other mating surfaces in a fluid such as in water (col. 10, lines 1-4).

As per claims 7 and 8 Bowden et al. also teach that each of the first, second, third and forth of components is electrically connected by an electrical conductor (114) to form an electrical circuit as shown in Fig. 4 (col. 8, line 53 to col. 9, line 45).

As per claim 9 Bowden et al. also teach that the first mating surface is allow to fasten to the second mating surface in a manner that is irreversible under the set condition (col. 2, lines 61-65).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 11/10/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art of record fails to disclose the claimed invention such as at least one of the components having at least two contact surface areas that are not distinguishable from each other by another components. Examiner traverses the argument that Fig. 5 of Bowden et al. shows that each of components (128-134) includes three essentially identical mating surfaces (138, equivalent with at least two contact surface areas, which are not distinguishable from each other), each of which matches any of the other mating surfaces (138), thus the components can be readily self-assembled into composite 136 (also see col. 9, lines 53-67). It is meant that

Art Unit: 3729

at least one of the components having at least two contact surface areas of Bowden et al. are not distinguishable from each other by another components.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul D Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-4565. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul D Kim
Examiner

Art Unit 3729